IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 1542 OF 2000

1. Mr. Ashish H.Kapadia & anr..... Petitioner

versus

Jineshwar Darshan Co-operative
Housing Society Ltd. & ors..... Respondent.

Mr. Ashish Kapada & Mrs. Anjali Kapadia petitioners present in person.
Mr. K.J. Hakani for respondent no.1.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.;

1. The petitioners are appearing in person. They are identified by the learned counsel Mr. K.J.Hakani appearing for the respondent no.1 society. The petitioners have purchased flat no. 5-B ground floor, Jineshwar Darshan Co-opertive Housing Society Ltd., 5, Navroji Lane, Ghatkopar (East), Mumbai from the respondent nos.2 to 5. The dispute pertains to additions and alterations made by the petitioners pending consideration of their membership application. The present petitioners and the respondent no.1 society have resolved the dispute amicably by entering into a settlement out of the Court. The petitioners under the Consent Terms are withdrawing the writ

petition against the respondent nos. 2 to 5. Consent Terms duly signed by the petitioners and the respondent no.1 are placed on record. The parties to the petition viz. the petitioners and the respondent no.1 admit the Consent Terms to be true and correct. A joint request is made by the petitioners and the learned counsel for the respondent no.1 to dispose of the writ petition in Consent Terms. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification. The undertaking given in paragraphs 1 and 7 is accepted. The writ petition No.1542/00 is thus disposed of in Consent Terms.

.....

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 4017 OF 2000

1.Jineshwar Darshan Co-operative
Housing Society Ltd. & ors..... ... Petitioners

versus

Dr. Ashish H. Kapadia & ors..... Respondent.

Mr. K.J. Hakani for petitioner no.1.
Mr. Ashish Kapada & Mrs. Anjali Kapadia respondents present in person.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.;

1. The petitioner no.1 is the housing society of which the petitioner no.2 is the chairman. Respondent nos. 1 and 2 who are appearing in person and who are identified by the learned counsel Mr. K.J. Hakani for the petitioners have purchased the flat in question from the respondent nos. 3 to 6 whereas the respondent nos. 7,8 and 9 are State Government and its officers. The petitioners under the Consent terms are seeking to withdraw the writ petition against respondent nos.3 to 9. The dispute in this petition pertains to the grant of membership to the resposndent

nos. 1 and 2. The parties to the petition have resolved the dispute amicably by entering into a settlement out of the Court. Consent Terms duly signed by the petitioners and the respondent nos.1 and 2 are placed on record. The parties to the petition viz. the petitioners and the respondent nos.1 and 2 admit the Consent Terms to be true and correct. A joint request is made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and respondent nos.1 and 2 to dispose of the writ petition in Consent Terms. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification. The undertaking given in paragraphs 3 and 6 is accepted. The writ petition No. 4017/00 is thus disposed of in Consent Terms.

.....

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 7511 OF 2000

Dr. Ashish H. Kapadia & anr.... Petitioner

versus

The Jineshwar Darshan Co-op
Housing society ltd. and others..... Respondent.

Mr. Ashish Kapada & Mrs. Anjali Kapadia petitioners present in person.
Mr. K.J. Hakani for respondent no.1.

CORAM; A.P. DESHPANDE, J.
DATED; 21ST SEPTEMBER, 2007

P.C.;

1. In view of the disposal of Writ Petition Nos. 1542/00 and 4017/00 in Consent Terms, the petitioners who are appearing in person and who are identified by the learned counsel for the respondent no.1 seeks permission to withdraw this writ petition. Permission is granted. Writ Petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

2. Civil Application No.2028/03 is disposed of in view of the disposal of the writ petition.

. . . . .